



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

NOT AVAILABLE COPY

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/598,304	06/21/2000	Masafumi Ata	P00.1318	7242

26263 7590 02/13/2003

SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL
P.O. BOX 061080
WACKER DRIVE STATION
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080

EXAMINER

CHEN, BRET P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1762

15

DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AS-15

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/598,304

Applicant(s)

Masafumi Ata et al.

Examiner

Bret Chen

Art Unit

1762



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec 19, 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) 1-11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 12-17 and 19-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-17 and 19-24 are pending in this application. Claims 1-11 have been withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a nonelected invention.

The translation filed 12/19/02 has obviated the previous art rejection in favor of the one listed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 12-17 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marchetti et al. (5,277,996) alone or when taken in view of Banks. Marchetti discloses a method of making a fuel cell electrode in which a fuel chamber 10 is coated with a substrate layer 30 made of fibrous carbon material (col.4 lines 52-60) and a fullerene carbon layer 36 (col.5 lines 8-10) as demonstrated in Figure 2. The fullerene carbon layer 36 is then coated with platinum (col.5 lines 16-17). However, the reference remains silent on the surface roughness.

It is noted the Marchetti remains silent on the geometric surface of the substrate. In its absence, the skilled artisan would reasonably expect that the deposition would be fully successful regardless of the surface roughness. Hence, it would have been obvious to utilize a smooth surface with the expectation of obtaining the same product.

Art Unit: 1762

In addition, Banks discloses diamondlike carbon flakes which are produced by the vacuum arc deposition of carbon on a smooth surface (col.2 lines 15-17). It is well known in the art to deposit carbon films on a smooth carbon surface as noted in Banks. One skilled in the art given the teaching of Banks would realize that the same can be applied to any other process with the expectation of obtaining similar results. Hence, it would have been obvious to utilize a smooth carbon surface as taught in Banks in Marchetti's process with the expectation of obtaining similar results.

In claim 14, the applicant requires a specific roughness. It is well settled that determination of optimum values of cause effective variables such as these process parameters is within the skill of one practicing in the art.

In claim 15-17, the applicant requires specific materials. These limitations have been addressed in col.5 line 46 - col.6 line 24 of Marchetti.

The limitations of claims 21-24 have been addressed above.

Feng (5,308,661) discloses a method of forming a diamond film on a carbon coated substrate (col.2 lines 46-68) in which a carbon-containing coating which is applied to the surface of the substrate can be a fullerene coating by vapor deposition (col.4 lines 4-31).

Mirkin et al. (5,338,571) and Nakamoto (6,097,138) have been provided for additional information.

UNRECORDED COPY

Art Unit: 1762


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 am to 7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck, can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310. Amendment After Finals should be faxed to (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

bc

February 10, 2003



BRET CHEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER